2019 NDACE Conference Bridge Rehab with Local Forces

Nick West, PE Grand Forks County Engineer


North Dakota Association of County Engineers



Grand Forks County Inventory

- 2015 Inspection
- > 279 Major Structures
- 41 Structural Deficient
- 12 Functionally Obsolete
- 70 Have a Ton Limit
 End of 2019 down to about 50

Bridge Components 101






So Your Bridge Needs Work

- Do we need the bridge?
 - Remove Immediately
 - Deteriorate then Remove
- Deficiency is Okay Goes to Single Family
- Rehabilitate
 - What part is the problem Typically Beams & Deck
 - Salvaged Material
- Replace not worth fixing

Project Selection

- Consider Tier System
- Snow Plow Routes
 - Equipment Weights ≈ 22 Tons
 - Bridges Less Than 22 Ton Limit
- Traffic Patterns Thru Routes
- Spread Throughout County



Can't Afford This Everywhere



Major Bridges with Ton Limit Inspection Form


Create Plan

Extract from Veteran Employees




Date: Location (Township/Section): Walle 14-23 Bridge Number: 142-27.0 32 Sufficiency Rating: 69 9 Posted Ton Limit: Is bridge used/needed kes/no/maybe} Explain: Priority Level of a Project (high / medium (10w) Usage of Roadway: (high) medium / low) If no above, what should be done with exst bridge: If yes above: rehabilitate or replaced (is substructure worth saving) SIL STA. East about the aning Rehabilitate: specifically what is need: how many and size - stringers/beams, deck, material type, can it be widened, guardrail, county or contractor forces do work Timp tix - Consider welding supports on brams to Stop about must draning ... by some Time Estimated Rehab Cost: Replace: estimated replacement material type, size, length skew, erosion control, grading, elevation changes, surrounding structures, historic: - Exist opining 25'x B' - Recommend Db1 12'x B' RCBC, Yotal lingth 64' W/Ends - Raise Rodd couple of feet To increase sight Astance - Contractor ~ NOT Historic - NO SKEW - Lots of Bip rap to salvage & Bruse ~ 10 immediate upstream structures - Slightly Noted 12" W beams solvegable, 12 Each - move New East slightly to align channel Estimated Replacement Cost

Inventory & Plan



Pre-Designed Beam Layouts



Not Like This

Keep Metal Beam Spacing Equal





Check SI&A Sheets

Grand Forks County Major Bridge Ton Limit Adjustment Schedule

List of Bridges where the Operating Rating has a difference of three tons or more from the actual posted ton limit

| | a substantia | | | | Difference | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------|---|
| Bridge # | Location | Operating Rating | Posted Limit | Recommended Limit | from Posted | | Notes |
| 101-02.0 | Elmount 7-18 | 23 | 15 | 23 | 8 | Raise | 12-10-18 |
| 104-02.2 | Elkmount 10-11 | 20 | 24 | 20 | -4 | Lower | 12-10-18 |
| 110-19.0 | Larimore 4-9 | 9 | 20 | 9 | -11 | Lower | Beams ordered to Rehab 10-31-18 |
| 111-30.0 | Grace 34-35 | 19 | 23 | 19 | -4 | Lower | Replace 2018 Dbl RCBC 11 - 20 - 18 |
| 113-27.0 | Grace 13-24 | 42 | 17 | none | 25 | Raise | Replace 2018 Dbl RCBC 11-20-18 |
| 113-28.1 | Grace 24-25 | 35 | 27 | 35 | 8 | Raise | 12-4-18 |
| 115-34.0 | Northwood 20 | 41 | 33 | none | 8 | Raise | Remove Posted Limit Immediately 6-19-18 |
| 118-23.0 | Arvilla 26-35 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 4 | Raise | 12-4-18 8-22-18 |
| 119-05.0 | Johnstown 29-30 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 5 | Raise | |
| 119-08.1 | Gilby 7-8 | 20 | 14 | 20 | 6 | Raise | 6-18-18 |
| 122-08.0 | Gilby 10-11 | 31 30 | 27 | 30 | 3 | Raise | 12-3-18 |
| 125-13.4 | Mekinock 1 Blooming 6 | 32 | 28 | 32 | 4 | Raise | 12-4-18 |
| 127-07.0 | Lakeville 4-9 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 5 | Raise | 12-10-18 |
| 127-12.0 | Blooming 5 Lakeville 33 | 12 | 16 | 12 | -4 | Lower | Beams ordered to Rehab |
| 127-28.0 | Fairfield 20-21 | 35 | 22 | 35 | 13 | Raise | Beams ordered to Rehab 7-16-18 |
| 128-14.0 | Blooming 9-10 | 48 | 32 | none | 16 | Raise | Remove Posted Limit Immediately 6-29-18 |
| 131-18.0 | Blooming 36 Rye 31 | 38 | 34 | none | 4 | Raise | Remove Posted Limit Immediately &-29-18 |
| 138-10.0 | Ferry East 20-29 | 40 | 34 | none | 6 | Raise | Remove Posted Limit Immediately C-14-18 |
| 141-31.4 | Americus 3 | 26 | 23 | 26 | 3 | Raise | 7-16-18 |
| 142-28.0 | Walle 23-26 | 18 | 21 | 18 | -3 | Lower | 12-5-18 |
| 143-28.0 | Walle 24-25 | 27 | 24 | 27 | 3 | Raise | Plan to Replace in Couple Years 12-5-18 |
| | | | | | Total | 21 | - |
| rom 2017 ND | DOT Inspection SI&A | | | | Total Raise | 16 | |
| une 2018 (by | Careed and a second sec | | | | Total Lower | 5 | |

5 Removed Ton Limit Completely

Better to Remove!



135-34.0 Timber Rehab



135-34.0 Beam Layout



135-34.0 Weld Bracing



135-34.0 Angle Bracing



135-34.0 Substructure



135-34.0 Riprap




135-34.0 - After



135–34.0 SI&A

| | Before | After |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Sufficiency Rating | 48 | 97 |
| Condition Deck | 7 Good | 9 Excellent |
| Condition Super | 6 Satisfactory | 9 Excellent |
| Condition Substructure | 6 Satisfactory | 7 Good |
| Operating Rating | 8 Tons | 96 Tons |
| Inventory Rating | 5 Tons | 58 Tons |



135–34.0 Costs


- County Forces
- Labor \$5,600
- Equipment \$4,600
- Materials \$9,100
- Metal Beams \$18,300
- Riprap \$2,200
- Total \$40,400



Triple Span Timber – Before Deteriorated Stingers



Triple Span Timber – Layout



Over Piers



Triple Span – Layout




Salvaged 3" Timber Deck



60' Triple Span

Costs

- County Forces
- Labor \$9,500
- Equipment \$6,800
- Materials \$5,100
- Metal Beams \$29,000
- Total \$52,200



NDDOT Inspection Notification

| County | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Structur | e Number | | | | | | |
| Location | l | | | | | | |
| Reason f | or inspecti | ion (new/ | rehabilit | ation/re | epair) | | |
| Who per | formed th | e work? | | | | | |
| Was Stru | ucture prev | viously clo | osed? (y/ | | If so, whe | ı? | |
| Date wo | rk was con | npleted | | | Curently p | osted for | Load? (y/ı |
| Location | of Work F | Performed | 1 | | | | |
| Work pe | rformed or | the follo | wing area | as of the | Structure | | |
| Deck (y/r | n) | | | | Pier(s) (y/r | ı) | |
| Beam/Gi | rder (y/n) | | | | Abutment(| s) (y/n) | |
| Pier/Abu | tment Caps | (y/n) | | | Channel (r | iprap) (y/n |) |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| If yes, v | work comj vhat Alert (ork was com | ode was r | repaired | | on the Si&A | | ···· |
| What wo | vhat Alert C | ode was r | epaired n this Str | ucture | aterial prop | | 1 |
| lf yes, v What wo | vhat Alert C rk was cou s used (pra | ode was r npleted o vide a des | epaired n this Stro scription | ucture of the m | 1 | erties, size | 1 |
| lf yes, v What wo | vhat Alert C rk was cou s used (pra | ode was r npleted o vide a des | epaired n this Stro scription | ucture of the m | aterial prop | erties, size | 1 |
| If yes, v What wo Material Did you o Photos | vhat Alert C rk was cou s used (pra | ode was n npleted o vide a des of the foll | epaired n this Stro scription | ucture of the m | aterial prop | erties, size | , etc.) |
| If yes, v What wo Material Did you o Photos Other | vhat Alert C rk was cou is used (pro | ode was n npleted o vide a des of the foll | epaired n this Stro scription | ucture of the m | aterial prop | erties, size | , etc.) |
| If yes, v What wo Material Did you o Photos Other NDDOT u: | vhat Alert C rk was cou is used (pro | ode was r npleted o vide a des of the foll Material | epaired n this Stro scription owing inj Spec info | of the m | aterial prop | erties, size | , etc.) |
| If yes, v What wo Material Did you o Photos Other NDDOT us Based on If yes, dat | what Alert C ork was cou ls used (pro attach any se only | ode was r npleted o vide a des of the foll Material rmed, was tion | epaired n this Stri scription of owing inj Spec info an inspec | of the m | aterial prop | erties, size | , etc.) |

Damaged Timber Bridge



Damaged Bridge



Damaged Bridge Repaired



Stabilize Timber Cap



Rotten Timber Stringer



Check Hydraulics – Before



Check Hydraulics – After




Reinforcing Timber Pile



Reinforcing Timber Pile



RCBC Broken Wing



RCBC – Broken Wing



RCBC – Broken Wing



RCBC- Broken Wing




RCBC Broken Wing





Concrete Bridge Wing – Before



Concrete Bridge Wing – After



Bridge Riprap



A Stitch in Time



A Stitch in Time May Save Nine



Quad Tee Leading Edge



Quad Tee Leading Edge



Eroded Under Abutment



Eroded Abutment – Riprap



Low Water Crossing Option



Rehab Recommendations

- Riprap
- Determine What Part of Bridge is Problem
- Beam Spacing
- Do Not Let Normal Water Touch the Bridge
- Seal Cut Ends, Scratches, Nicks
- Widen if possible 28' Goal

- Sway brace
- 4" Timber Deck Treated Copper Naphthenate
- Materials Metal, Timber, Concrete

Riprap

Thank You

- Gerald Sieg, Superintendent
- 56 year veteran with Grand Forks County



Questions Nick West

- Grand Forks County Engineer
- Allendale Township Supervisor
- Office: (701) 780-8248
- Mobile: (701) 317-0126
- Email: <u>nick.west@gfcounty.org</u>

