

QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS SUMMARY

Of the 490 people attending the conference, 275, or more than half of the attendees, filled out the Participant Questionnaire. Of those who filled out the questionnaire, participants described themselves as being associated with the following groups:

Association	Percent of Total
Elected official	26%
Public official	19%
Transportation service provider	18%
Concerned citizen	15%
Other	11%
Transportation contractor/consultant	5%
Shipper-business	5%

Participants were also asked to rank their areas of concern for several transportation categories. Results for all workshop participants who responded are listed in the table below, followed by the results from each individual workshop:

All Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	2
Quality of county roadways and bridges	3
Quality of township roadways and bridges	6
Quality of city roadways and bridges	4
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	5

Dickinson Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	4
Quality of county roadways and bridges	2
Quality of township roadways and bridges	3
Quality of city roadways and bridges	6
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	5

Williston Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	1
Quality of county roadways and bridges	3
Quality of township roadways and bridges	5
Quality of city roadways and bridges	6
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	2
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	4

Minot Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	3
Quality of county roadways and bridges	5
Quality of township roadways and bridges	6
Quality of city roadways and bridges	4
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	2

Bismarck Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	2
Quality of county roadways and bridges	3
Quality of township roadways and bridges	6
Quality of city roadways and bridges	5
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	4

Fargo Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	2
Quality of county roadways and bridges	3
Quality of township roadways and bridges	6
Quality of city roadways and bridges	4
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	5

Jamestown Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	3
Quality of county roadways and bridges	2
Quality of township roadways and bridges	4
Quality of city roadways and bridges	5
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	6

Devils Lake Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	2
Quality of county roadways and bridges	3
Quality of township roadways and bridges	5
Quality of city roadways and bridges	4
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	1
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	6

Grand Forks Workshop Participants

Area of Concern	Rank
Quality of state roadways and bridges	3
Quality of county roadways and bridges	4
Quality of township roadways and bridges	6
Quality of city roadways and bridges	5
Funding for future transportation infrastructure projects	2
Transit services & related funding (city buses, rural public transportation, etc.)	1